UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/591,366	01/16/2007	Philip Corbin III	FLUX - 2006 8072	
	7590 09/28/201 LAW OFFICES, PLC	EXAMINER		
801 BRICKELI		LE, DANG D		
SUITE 900 MIAMI, FL 33	131	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Astion Communication		Applicat	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/591,	366	CORBIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit			
		Dang D.	Le	2834			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicate Reply	ion appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHO WHICH - Extens after S - If NO programmer of the control of	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the properties of the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF T CFR 1.136(a). In no eation. Ty period will apply and by statute, cause the apply statute, cause the apply and	THIS COMMUNICATION EVENT, however, may a reply be tir- will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from epilication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
2a)⊠ ∃ 3)□ \$	Responsive to communication(s) filed of this action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)[Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in accordance with the closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in accordance with the closed in accordance	☐ This action is allowance excep	ot for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositio	n of Claims						
5)□ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)□ (	Claim(s) 1-25,38,51 and 52 is/are pendical Of the above claim(s) 1-24,51 and 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 25 and 38 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	<u>2</u> is/are withdrav	n from consideration.				
10)□ T , , F	he specification is objected to by the Exhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	accepted or to the drawing(s) correction is requ	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	, ,		
·	he oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form P	IO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2)  Notice 3) Informa	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-sation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/591,366 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25 and 38 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

Application/Control Number: 10/591,366 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 25 and 38 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 7,294,947 in view of Cramer (5,763,973).

Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 7,294,947 respectively shows all of the features recited in claims 25 and 38 except for wherein said primary rotary member's axial position relative to said secondary rotating member is fixed. Cramer shows wherein said primary rotary member's axial position relative to said secondary rotating member can be fixed (66 and 56) for the purpose of maintain the constant torque. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to fix the primary rotary member's axial position relative to the secondary rotating member as taught by Cramer for the purpose discussed above.

## Information on How to Contact USPTO

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2027. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,366 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Quyen Leung can be reached on (571) 272-8188. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dang D Le/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2834

9/23/10